UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/553,969	04/21/2000	Donald G. Wallace	17067-002040	6560
Halls 7590 03/14/2008 BAXTER HEALTHCARE CORPORATION ONE BAXTER PARKWAY MAIL STOP DF2-2E DEERFIELD, IL 60015			EXAMINER	
			CHANNAVAJJALA, LAKSHMI SARADA	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1611	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/14/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	09/553,969	WALLACE ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Lakshmi S. Channavajjala	1611		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 F</u> 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1,19-21 and 23-36 is/are pending in the short state of the above claim(s) is/are withdra short claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1, 19-21 and 23-36 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or claim(s) are subject.	wn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	epted or b) objected to by the Education of the Idrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate		

Art Unit: 1611

DETAILED ACTION

Receipt of request for reconsideration dated 2-5-08 is acknowledged.

Claims 1 and 19-21 and 23-26 are pending. Claims 2-18 and 22 have been cancelled.

The remarks of 2-25-08 and the interview summary dated 1-24-08 refer to the status of

claim 34 in the final rejection.

Upon careful consideration, the finality of the last office action has been withdrawn. The outstanding rejections of record have been withdrawn and the following new rejection has been applied to the pending claims:

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

2. Claims 1, 19-21, 23-26 and 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US 4,124,705 to Rothman et al.

Rothman et al (hereafter Rothman) discloses an agent for intravascular administration consisting of a suspension of minute particles of a polysaccharide that is blocks the finer blood vessels (abstract, lines bridging col. 1-2 and paragraph bridging col. 11-col. 12). The polysaccharide of Rothman is biodegradable and resorbable because Rothman describes that the hydrophilic swellable particles are broken down by alpha-amylase in the blood plasma (col. 2, I 4-16) and further, according to the instant claim 35, the ability to be resorbable is inherent to the polysaccharide of Rothman. Similarly, the ability to swell is a property inherent to the polysaccharides described by Rothman. For

Application/Control Number: 09/553,969

Page 3

Art Unit: 1611

the claimed particle sizes, Rothman teaches a size range of 0.1 to 300 microns (col. 5, L 18-36), which overlaps with the claimed range of 0.01 mm to 5 mm (10 microns-5000 microns). Thus, the gels of Rothman meet all the characteristics that are claimed in claims 1, and 24. Rothman further describes that the polymeric gel particles are produced by disintegrating the larger pieces of gel, which reads on fragmented gel claimed in the instant (col. 8, L 3-14). With respect to the limitations of "single phase" and "substantially free form a free aqueous phase", Rothman does not teach including any other substance or component in the polysaccharide suspension other than for the formation of the gel or the ability to form a gel, and also states that the gels contain more than 50% by weight water but less than 98%water (col. 4, L 58-70), which implies that the gels do not contain any free water. Rothman discloses that the particulate suspension is injected intravascularly (col. 8, L 31-48), in conjunction with a therapeutic (col. 9, L 25-34) or a diagnostic agent (col. 8, L 49 through col. 9, L 24). Further the particulate suspension containing polysaccharide particles (of Rothman) read on a single phase aqueous colloid and are swellable upon administration and hence the presence of aqueous solution (for suspending the particles) and hence read on the claimed "free from a free aqueous phase". The therapeutic or diagnostic agents of Rothman read on instant claim 25 and particularly mention coagulation factors of claim 26 (col. 9, line 28-30).

Art Unit: 1611

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

- 4. Claims 1, 19-21, 23-24, 34 and 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 4,482,386 to Wittwer et al (Wittwer).
- 5. Wittwer et al teach conditioned water-swellable hydrocolloids for use in mechanical forming processes such as processes such as die molding or injection molding in preparing shaped articles (abstract, col. 10 and col. 2, L 66 through col. 3, I 13). Wittwer teaches number polymers such as protein or non-biological polymers for preparing swellable hydrocolloids including gelatin (col. 2, L 37-57). Example in col. 4 describes the preparation of gelating preparation, where in gelatin is conditioned or hydrated to 15% water content and the gelating granules. Further, Wittwer teaches that gelatin is in a granulated form with a mean particle diameter of 0.2 to 4 mm. (claim 6). With respect to the degradation claimed, the property of degradation is associated with gelatin. Wittwer does not teach the hydrocolloid in an applicator but suggests that the granulated gelatin is coupled with a molding unit such as an injection molding machine and therefore the claimed hydrogel being in an applicator with an extrusion orifice so as to be able to inject gelatin hydrocolloid would have been within the scope of a skilled artisan. Even though Wittwer fails to exemplify other swellable polymers, it would have been obvious for a skilled artisan to choose a biological polymer such as protein or a non-biological polymer or a synthetic polymer to prepare swellable hydrocolloids because Wittwer suggests that the process of preparing a swellable hydrocolloids of

predetermined water content, that are suitable for preparing moldable or shaped articles can also be prepared with synthetic polymers.

6. Claim 27 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rothman et al in view of US 4,515,637 to Cioca.

Rothman fails to teach the specific clotting agent, thrombin of claim 27, but teaches inclusion of clotting agents in the swellable gels for affecting coagulation.

Cioca teaches thrombin as an effective clotting factor for stoppage of bleeding locally (col. 2). Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of an ordinary skill in the art at the time of the instant invention was made to include thrombin as a coagulation factor in the hydrogel composition of Rothman with an expectation of achieving the desired clotting or coagulation.

- 7. Claims 28-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rothman et al as applied to claims 1, 19-21, 23-24 and 34 above, and further in view of US 4482386 to Wittwer and US 6,129,761 to Hubbell.
- 8. Rothman, discussed above, teach polysaccharide swellable gels in combination with active agents or hydrocolloids comprising combinations of swellable polymers. However, Rothman fails to teach combinations of polymers of claims 28-33 and lacks gelatin or the synthetic polymers.
- Wittwer teaches gelatin or synthetic polymers that swellable and also suitable for 9. injection molding to prepare shaped articles. Wittwer teaches natural and synthetic

Application/Control Number: 09/553,969

Art Unit: 1611

polymers are suitable for the preparation of injectable hydrocolloids, but fails to teach an active agent (claim 25) such as a clotting agent (claim 26), in combination with gelatin or other polymers.

Page 6

10. Hubbell teaches injectable hydrogel compositions useful for delivering cells or other bioactive agents via injection and thus provide engraftment and a 3-D template for new cell growth, custom molding of implants as well as implantation of tissues (abstract and col. 5, L 5-23). The polymers of Hubbell include biodegradable, biocompatible hydrogels such as polylactides, polyanhydrides, polysaccharides and natural polymers such as gelatin, collagen, fibrin etc (col. 7-8), all of which described in the instant. Hubbell also teaches combination or mixtures of polymers (col. 8, L 63 -col. 9, L 12). It would have been obvious for one of an ordinary skill in the art at the time of the instant invention was made to combine other synthetic and natural swellable polymers of Wittwer or Hubbell with the polysaccharide swellable polymers of Rothman for administration because Wittwer suggests that protein as well synthetic polymers are suitable for preparing injection moldable articles and Hubbell suggests several swellable hydrogel polymers (both natural polymers such as gelatin and synthetic polymers) as well as their combinations for administering active agents to the localized or for tissue remodeling or preparing shaped moldable articles. Accordingly, a skilled artisan would have expected to be able to administer active agents or promote tissue engraftment with individual as well as mixtures of hydrogel polymers.

Application/Control Number: 09/553,969

Art Unit: 1611

11. Claims 25-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 4482386 to Wittwer in view of Rothman et al as applied to claims 1, 19-21, 23-24 and 34-35 above, and further in view of US 4,515,637 to Cioca.

Page 7

- 12. Examiner notes that instant claim 26 requires a clotting agent, wherein the clotting agent is thrombin (claim 27). Instant claims 28 (protein polymer) and 29 (gelatin) are dependent from claim 26, which is turn is indirectly dependent from claim 25.
- 13. Wittwer teaches gelatin or synthetic polymers that swellable and also suitable for injection molding to prepare shaped articles. Wittwer teaches natural and synthetic polymers are suitable for the preparation of injectable hydrocolloids, but fails to teach an active agent (claim 25) such as a clotting agent (claim 26) or thrombin.
- 14. Rothman, discussed above, teach polysaccharide swellable gels in combination with active agents or hydrocolloids comprising combinations of swellable polymers.

 Rothman fails to teach the specific clotting agent, thrombin of claim 27, but teaches inclusion of clotting agents in the swellable gels for affecting coagulation.

Cioca teaches thrombin as an effective clotting factor for stoppage of bleeding locally (col. 2). Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of an ordinary skill in the art at the time of the instant invention was made to use swellable hydrocolloids of Wittwer containing gelatin polymer for delivering active agents such as coagulating factors to the desired site because Rothman suggests swellable hydrogels for delivering therapeutic agents such as coagulating agents. Further, it would have been obvious for

Art Unit: 1611

a skilled artisan to include thrombin as a coagulation factor in the hydrogel composition of Wittwer with an expectation of achieving the desired clotting or coagulation.

The following rejections of record have been withdrawn:

1. Claims 1, 20, 21, 23, 25, 30 and 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US 4,818,517 to Kwee et al (Kwee).

2. Claims 19, 24, 31, 32 and 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kwee et al (Kwee).

3. Claims 26-29 and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kwee et al (Kwee) in view of Berg et al.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lakshmi S. Channavajjala whose telephone number is 571-272-0591. The examiner can normally be reached on 9.00 AM -5.30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Woodward can be reached on 571-272-8373. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1611

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Lakshmi S Channavajjala/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1611 February 29, 2008